

Just because he is smart or a good orator, is scholarly and articulate, does not mean he has or ever had the best interest of Americans at the for.! His acerbic wit and mental dexterity would make for a hugely entertaining debate with Obama. Therefore, he should be our nominee? Quite frankly this is silliness on stilts.

The man has huge inconsistencies, character flaws and the ever-present danger of self-importance which would make him the worst candidate for America. If by some chance he would win the election – do we really believe we will have anyone different than we had as a speaker? For Conservative Americans he would be for us also a disaster just as he was as a speaker. He stands for and is everything we are against.

Gingrich is talking about Agenda 21 – does that mean he is for or against it? Does it mean he knows what it is or that is what you want him to say! Talk is cheap – he recently talked about Agenda 21 to a Tea Party group explaining what it was but never said if he was for or against it. His voting record shows which side he is on – not good!

During his 16 years in Congress his Conservative Index average was 73% - do we not want 100%? He has consistently been referred to as a very “liberal” Republican or I believe we call them “RINOS”.

He has fought for the New Deal/Great Society Welfare while at the same time voting for every kind of welfare program presented while he was in Congress.

A self-professed “environmentalist”, he touts what he calls “green conservatism”. His also wrote a book, “A Contract With the Earth”. He has been an alarmist on global warming – let us not forget his TV commercial, where he is sitting on the couch all nice & cozy with Nancy Pelosi warning of the imminent dangers of climate change.

Video: http://youtu.be/qi6n_-wB154

He supported mandatory cap and trade legislation and supported ethanol subsidies – now that the global warming science is proving them wrong, he has flip-flopped on climate change and some energy issues.

1979 – YES to the forming of the Department of Education demanded by Carter
YES to the formation of the NEA – National Education Assoc.
YES in taking 68M acres of Alaskan mineral rich land & deeming it “wilderness”

1981 – YES with the liberals to increase the National Debt Limit by \$49.1B to \$985B –

1982 – then he turned around and talked against further increases in the Debt Limit declaring it was only a leverage point to put “liberal” changes in place.

1987 – YES \$603.9B 13 appropriations bills with wasteful unconstitutional legislation
YES to \$307M for continuation of the unconstitutional “Endangered Species Act”

1989 – YES for outlays of \$1.165T with a \$99B deficit for a spendthrift/dishonest
1990 budget which got them just under the Gramm-Rudman \$100B deficit limit
YES to \$1.4B in federal aid for “applied technology education” – Agenda 21!

1990 – Became a Member of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) – he has supported their globalist programs including expanded powers and more money for the United Nations and WTO to include more foreign aid.

YES for foreign aid (\$15.7B/1991) (\$12.4B/92) (\$13B/93)

YES to elevating the EPA to Cabinet level status – unconstitutional

YES \$2.9B Head Start & Follow Through Programs

YES to \$1.1B for various Education programs

NO to expel Barney Frank (D-MA) for felony criminal charges related to his homosexual activities – he additionally supported the re-election of Rep.

Gunderson (R-WI) an open homosexual saying he had courage for being

“gay”

1991 – Yes to \$40B unconstitutional bailout of failed savings & loan institutions (Jeb Bush – remember that)

YES to \$52.6B Agriculture subsidies and Food Stamps

YES on an amendment to the federal crime bill to establish the National Police Corps.

1992 - HOUSE BANK SCANDAL: With all his money he also abused the House check writing privileges having himself written several over drafts – one being a check to the Internal Revenue for \$9463.00. YOU WOULD HAVE GONE TO JAIL!

YES to \$66.5B for Housing and Community Development

YES for additional foreign aid (13.8B for 1993)

YES to \$12.3B to the IMF (International Monetary Fund)

YES to \$1.2B for the “republics” of the former Soviet Union

1993 – YES to \$13B/94 foreign aid

YES additional foreign aid of \$12.9B with \$2.5B for Russia

1994 – YES for the Formation of the National Endowment of the Arts

YES to taking 2.2M acres of mineral rich Idaho lands to wilderness status

YES to the Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (FASA)

YES to \$13.8B in foreign aid for 1995

YES to giving the Internal Revenue Service 166M

YES to giving the UN an extra 1.2 B
YES to the Presidential line item veto
YES to subsidize trade with the Soviets
YES on NAFTA

YES to give China most favored status

Although he did not vote for Clinton's \$30B crime bill of 1994 – he resurrected it and made it possible – Gingrich failed to point out the one flaw in the bill – it was unconstitutional – he focused on the pork in the bill instead

YES to \$7.7B for Head Start

YES as to such funds “as needed” for the \$3.3B per year Head Start

YES to \$2.6B for 3 low income and child abuse prevention programs

YES on \$250.6B appropriations for the Dept's of Labor, Education & HHS

NO on a bill to balance the budget through 1999 w/ \$698B in spending cuts (a mere 3.5% cut over 5 years) all this in spite of them giving themselves hefty raise and himself a taxpayer provided chauffeur-driven car

1996 - NO to a 1% decrease in foreign aid

YES on the anti-gun legislation and for the Brady Campaign's Lautenberg Gun Ban – This is one of the Congressional Republicans' worst betrayals of gun owners, and those complicit in its passage deserve nothing but contempt from gun owners. Gingrich referred to this legislation as “reasonable”. This gun control measure ranks right up there with the Brady Registration Act as the most aggressive gun control in America, denying hundreds of thousands of would-be gun owners the right to self defense. Still has never signed the NRA Presidential Gun Rights Survey! He even at one time stated, “as long as I am the Speaker, no control legislation is going to move in committee or onto the House floor”. HUH?

95-96 - closed the Federal government to push Clinton into signing the balanced budget! A GOOD THING!

Gingrich also stood shoulder to shoulder with Nancy Pelosi to pass the “Criminal Safezones Act” which prevents armed citizens from defending themselves in certain arbitrary locations. You and I both know that Criminal Safezones don't protect law-abiding citizens, but actually protect the criminals who ignore them.

Voted Yes to federally funded loan guarantees to further trade with China
Made taxpayer funds available to foreign governments through import & export banks

Voted Yes to amnesty for illegal's

Voted Yes on GATT

Voted Yes to give China most favorable status (Something I have never understood is we put sanctions against Cuba for their immoral acts toward their citizens, but yet we have never done the same to China or Venezuela)

On **January 21, 1997**, the House of Representatives voted for the first time in its

208-year history to discipline a House Speaker, and, in a 395-28 vote, ordered Gingrich to pay an unprecedented \$300,000 penalty for ethical wrongdoing.

During his term as Speaker, eighty-four ethics charges were filed against him; eighty-three of them were dropped. The remaining charge concerned a 20-hour college course called "Renewing American Civilization" that Gingrich had taught through a tax-deductible foundation. Allegations of tax improprieties led to two counts "of failure to seek legal advice" and one count of "providing the committee with information which he knew or should have known was inaccurate" concerning the use of a tax exempt college course for political purposes. To avoid a full hearing, Gingrich and the House Ethics Subcommittee negotiated a sanctions agreement. Democrats accused Gingrich of violating the agreement, but it was forwarded to the House for approval.

On **January 21, 1997**, the House voted 395 to 28 to reprimand Gingrich, including a \$300,000 "cost assessment" to recoup money spent on the investigation.

It was during this time the **Bilderberger's** met in Atlanta, GA to work on advancing their move to a One World Order among these 6 goals presented was for the United States to fund the IMF and United Nations with Billions of taxpayer \$\$

In his **1998** book "Lessons Learned the Hard Way", Gingrich outlined a multi-step plan to improve economic opportunities for the poor. The plan called for encouraging volunteerism, creating tax incentives, reducing regulations for business in poor areas and increasing property ownership by low-income families – does this sound like anything you are hearing right now?

Gingrich is always more concerned with revitalizing and redefining so-called "conservatism" rather than limited government. Why change what isn't broken?

He pushed to prohibit the IMF from loaning money to communist countries instead of supporting complete US withdrawal from the illicit international organization.

Gingrich supported the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of **2003**, creating the Medicare Part D federal prescription drugs benefit program. In a **May 15, 2011**, interview on Meet the Press, Gingrich repeated his long-held belief that "**all of us have a responsibility to pay – help pay for health care**", and suggested this could be implemented by either a mandate to obtain health insurance or a requirement to post a bond ensuring coverage

Gingrich has also been an advocate for health information technology. In **2005**, together with **Hillary Rodham Clinton** he announced the proposal of the 21st Century Health Information Act, a bill which aimed to replace paperwork with confidential, electronic health information networks.

In **2005** he also became the co-chair of a task force for UN reform, which aimed to

produce a plan for the U.S. to help strengthen the UN – Billions of taxpayer dollars

His past record shows he has no integrity yet it is the very integrity of the American people he is asking to vote for him. The changing tide in regard to moral ethics, I personally cannot get over the way he ended his 1st 2 marriages by being involved in extramarital affairs – the last with his current wife Callista who at the time was a House staffer and Gingrich was trying to impeach Clinton for his “dealings” with Monica Lewinsky. Sounds like the “pot calling the kettle black”.

Gingrich’s original “Contract for America” promises were never completed except for taking over both Houses of Congress in ’94 and the “Taxpayer Relief Act of ‘97”. Truth be known, and what is obvious to me, this contract between the American people and the Federal Government already existed in the US Constitution – Gingrich did not need to add any additional “gimmickry”. Just abide by the Constitution!

Gingrich’s leading philosophy like so many career politicians is “big government is better tamed and reformed than abolished”.

In my view – Gingrich is a political chameleon who shifts his views to reflect whatever is popular at the current time in DC. He knows how to talk a “conservative” game, but his record is that of a typical “inside-the-beltway” politician who will break any deal or cut any compromise for his political or personal gain. He has Republican friends who have said as much.

Railed against Obama on the bailouts for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac while at the same time was receiving more than 1.5M from Fannie Mae as a “consultant/historian”. During this time his firm also raised \$37M to help pass healthcare insurance mandates.

ALLEN WEST – In referring to Gingrich he stated, “Well, he’s a fighter, but he’s also a fighter that’s carrying a 200-pound rucksack on his back with all the baggage trying to fight.”

During the **2009** special election in New York's 23rd congressional district, Gingrich endorsed moderate Republican candidate **Dede Scozzafava** rather than the Conservative Party candidate **Doug Hoffman** who had been endorsed by several nationally prominent Republicans. Gingrich has since regretted his decision. My question – why did he endorse her in the first place?